#### TMDL "Daily" Loads

Implications from the recent DC Circuit Court Decision

Jutta Schneider



### Summary of DC Circuit Court Decision

- > Friends of the Earth v. EPA et al, No. 05-5015
- > April 25, 2006
- Finding: Two TMDLs for the Anacostia River do not comply with CWA because they were not expressed as daily loads
  - Impacts on TMDL development?
  - Implications for NPDES permits?

#### EPA Expectations for "Daily" Load

- Uncertainty regarding other courts following suit on daily load issue
- > EPA recommends that
  - "all TMDLs and associated load allocations and wasteload allocations be expressed in terms of daily time increments."

(Draft EPA memorandum, July 2006)

#### EPA Expectations for "Daily" Load, cont.

- Options for flexibility suggested in draft memo:
  - Minimum and maximum daily loads
  - Average daily loads
  - Differing daily loads depending on season, or on wet vs. dry conditions

#### EPA Expectations for "Daily" Load, cont.

- Options for flexibility suggested in draft memo, cont.:
  - Expressed as functions of dynamic factors such as water body flow
    - Example load duration curve approach
  - Expressed as table or graph based on concentration-based water quality standard and daily stream flow

### EPA Expectations for NPDES Permit Implications

- No expressed or implied statutory requirement to express NPDES limits in daily terms
- Full array of required and discretionary tools still available to NPDES permitting authority, incl.
  - Establishing weekly limits for POTWs
  - Incorporating BMPs into NPDES permits where numeric limits are impracticable to calculate

### EPA Expectations for NPDES Permit Implications, cont.

- "Consistent with the assumptions and requirements of any available wasteload allocation"
- Assumptions can be defined explicitly for NPDES implementation, i.e.
  - "permit writer should have the flexibility to express the permit's effluent limitations using a time frame in keeping with, and appropriate to, the water body and pollutant in question and the applicable water quality standard"
  - TMDL can include alternative temporal expressions of the total load and wasteload as implementation assumptions

# EPA Recommendations For Existing TMDLs and TMDLs in Progress

- Revision of approved TMDLs is lower priority than TMDL development pursuant to state TMDL development schedules
- Revision of TMDLs should be consistent with recommendations

# EPA Recommendations For Existing TMDLs and TMDLs in Progress

- > TMDLs in progress should
  - Include daily loads, or
  - Include documentation on how the TMDL would be expressed as daily loads, or
  - Be assigned daily loads by EPA

#### Example South Run TMDL

Segment	Parameter	TMDL	WLA	LA	MOS
South Run	Phosphorus (t/year)	0.562	0.038	0.496	0.028
	Phosphorus (t/day)	0.0015	0.0001	0.00135	0.00007



Starting with EPA approvals dated 8/2/06, daily loads are calculated by EPA as annual load divided by 365 and included in Decision Rationale.

# EPA Recommendations For Existing TMDLs and TMDLs in Progress

- States should consult with EPA early in development process to determine appropriate approaches
- EPA technical support documents to be forthcoming, but:
- Draft EPA memorandum has not yet been finalized